Citizens for Tax Justice Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Economic Stimulus Bill, February 13, 2009 Source: ITEP Tax Model, February 13, 2009

| | | AMT Re | eduction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$9,900 | \$ — | - | \$ –207 | 10.1% | \$4 | 3.5% | \$ –177 | 40.3% |
| Second 20% | 19,500 | _ | - | -339 | 16.5% | -30 | 24.4% | -229 | 51.9% |
| Middle 20% | 32,900 | -0 | 0.0% | -406 | 20.0% | -84 | 68.2% | -31 | 7.2% |
| Fourth 20% | 56,700 | -44 | 3.0% | -527 | 25.7% | -5 | 3.8% | -2 | 0.4% |
| Next 15% | 96,400 | -881 | 45.2% | -634 | 23.5% | -0 | 0.1% | -1 | 0.1% |
| Next 4% | 197,400 | -3,042 | 41.4% | -373 | 3.7% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Top 1% | 942,600 | -3,084 | 10.5% | -16 | 0.0% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% |
| ALL | \$ 54,900 | \$ –291 | 100.0% | \$ -402 | 100.0% | \$ -24 | 100.0% | \$ –86 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$20,800 | \$ -0 | 0.0% | \$ –317 | 46.7% | \$ -40 | 96.1% | \$ –145 | 99.4% |

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Alaska | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$15,100 | \$ — | - | \$ –291 | 12.5% | \$ -12 | 11.7% | \$ –59 | 31.9% |
| Second 20% | 29,700 | _ | _ | -391 | 18.6% | -66 | 71.1% | -100 | 59.4% |
| Middle 20% | 52,300 | -77 | 2.7% | -519 | 23.5% | -16 | 16.7% | -15 | 8.6% |
| Fourth 20% | 88,400 | -531 | 18.6% | -508 | 23.4% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Next 15% | 145,200 | -1,840 | 47.8% | -592 | 20.2% | -1 | 0.5% | _ | _ |
| Next 4% | 252,000 | -4,021 | 28.4% | -149 | 1.4% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Top 1% | 1,077,600 | -1,485 | 2.6% | -44 | 0.1% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 78,600 | \$ –567 | 100.0% | \$ -431 | 100.0% | \$ –19 | 100.0% | \$ –35 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$32,600 | \$ –26 | 2.7% | \$ -402 | 54.7% | \$ –32 | 99.5% | \$59 | 100.0% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Arizona | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$12,400 | \$ — | - | \$ –292 | 13.7% | \$ –21 | 11.6% | \$ –154 | 51.0% |
| Second 20% | 26,800 | -0 | 0.0% | -386 | 18.0% | -64 | 35.8% | -116 | 37.9% |
| Middle 20% | 40,500 | -8 | 0.4% | -427 | 20.2% | -88 | 49.9% | -25 | 8.2% |
| Fourth 20% | 63,300 | -120 | 6.2% | -528 | 24.9% | -5 | 2.7% | -8 | 2.7% |
| Next 15% | 106,500 | -1,220 | 47.5% | -593 | 20.9% | - | - | - | - |
| Next 4% | 227,200 | -3,911 | 40.6% | -198 | 1.9% | - | - | -2 | 0.1% |
| Top 1% | 1,149,900 | -2,067 | 5.4% | -12 | 0.0% | _ | - | -2 | 0.0% |
| ALL | \$ 64,700 | \$ –383 | 100.0% | \$ -422 | 100.0% | \$ –35 | 100.0% | \$ -60 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$26,600 | \$-3 | 0.4% | \$ –368 | 51.8% | \$ –58 | 97.3% | \$ -98 | 97.1% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Arkansas | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$8,800 | \$ — | - | \$ –210 | 10.1% | \$ -7 | 4.6% | \$ –99 | 28.1% |
| Second 20% | 20,000 | _ | _ | -338 | 16.4% | -21 | 13.5% | -173 | 49.7% |
| Middle 20% | 33,500 | -0 | 0.0% | -443 | 21.1% | -116 | 74.2% | -44 | 12.5% |
| Fourth 20% | 54,300 | -44 | 2.8% | -542 | 25.9% | -12 | 7.7% | -34 | 9.5% |
| Next 15% | 90,200 | -837 | 41.2% | -592 | 22.3% | _ | - | _ | - |
| Next 4% | 196,000 | -3,465 | 43.9% | -421 | 4.1% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% |
| Top 1% | 779,300 | -3,825 | 12.1% | -21 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | - |
| ALL | \$ 52,100 | \$ –312 | 100.0% | \$ -408 | 100.0% | \$ –30 | 100.0% | \$69 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$20,800 | \$ -0 | 0.0% | \$ –330 | 47.6% | \$ -48 | 92.2% | \$ -106 | 90.4% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$13,000 | \$ — | - | \$ –299 | 14.6% | \$ –13 | 9.6% | \$ –151 | 51.9% |
| Second 20% | 27,500 | -0 | 0.0% | -396 | 19.4% | -70 | 53.0% | -100 | 34.3% |
| Middle 20% | 44,400 | -16 | 0.5% | -431 | 21.1% | -47 | 35.3% | -32 | 10.9% |
| Fourth 20% | 71,900 | -267 | 8.8% | -511 | 25.0% | -3 | 2.0% | -6 | 1.9% |
| Next 15% | 131,600 | -2,262 | 55.9% | -527 | 19.3% | -0 | 0.1% | -2 | 0.6% |
| Next 4% | 302,800 | -5,191 | 34.2% | -50 | 0.5% | -0 | 0.0% | -0 | 0.0% |
| Top 1% | 1,791,700 | -293 | 0.5% | -4 | 0.0% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% |
| ALL | \$ 80,300 | \$ -601 | 100.0% | \$ -405 | 100.0% | \$ -26 | 100.0% | \$58 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$28,300 | \$ –5 | 0.5% | \$ -375 | 55.0% | \$ -43 | 97.8% | \$ –94 | 97.1% |

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$11,400 | \$ — | - | \$ –249 | 11.8% | \$ –24 | 28.6% | \$ –138 | 74.7% |
| Second 20% | 27,300 | -1 | 0.0% | -368 | 17.4% | -42 | 49.4% | -43 | 22.9% |
| Middle 20% | 46,800 | -5 | 0.2% | -460 | 22.1% | -16 | 19.3% | -3 | 1.6% |
| Fourth 20% | 74,400 | -220 | 9.1% | -555 | 26.4% | -2 | 2.6% | -1 | 0.6% |
| Next 15% | 128,700 | -1,650 | 51.2% | -596 | 21.3% | _ | - | -0 | 0.1% |
| Next 4% | 279,000 | -4,536 | 37.6% | -88 | 0.8% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Top 1% | 1,411,100 | -860 | 1.8% | -7 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 75,700 | \$ -477 | 100.0% | \$ -415 | 100.0% | \$ –17 | 100.0% | \$ –36 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$28,600 | \$ –2 | 0.2% | \$ -359 | 51.3% | \$ –27 | 97.3% | \$61 | 99.2% |

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$12,300 | \$ — | - | \$ –211 | 10.7% | \$4 | 5.9% | \$ -46 | 66.3% |
| Second 20% | 31,000 | -3 | 0.1% | -372 | 18.8% | -50 | 81.7% | -11 | 15.6% |
| Middle 20% | 52,100 | -69 | 1.7% | -445 | 22.3% | -8 | 12.4% | -12 | 18.0% |
| Fourth 20% | 85,000 | -642 | 15.6% | -565 | 28.4% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% |
| Next 15% | 150,500 | -3,216 | 59.1% | -511 | 19.5% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% |
| Next 4% | 380,500 | -5,107 | 23.6% | -23 | 0.2% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Top 1% | 2,290,700 | _ | - | _ | - | _ | - | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 99,500 | \$811 | 100.0% | \$ –391 | 100.0% | \$ –12 | 100.0% | \$ –14 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$31,700 | \$ -24 | 1.7% | \$ -343 | 51.8% | \$21 | 100.0% | \$ -23 | 99.9% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Delaware | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | rk Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,300 | \$ — | - | \$ -242 | 11.3% | \$ –3 | 7.8% | \$ -92 | 44.3% |
| Second 20% | 24,500 | _ | _ | -353 | 17.8% | -17 | 47.1% | -101 | 52.4% |
| Middle 20% | 43,200 | -43 | 2.1% | -391 | 19.0% | -16 | 43.7% | -5 | 2.4% |
| Fourth 20% | 68,600 | -98 | 4.8% | -558 | 26.9% | -1 | 1.4% | _ | - |
| Next 15% | 112,000 | -1,228 | 45.8% | -629 | 23.1% | _ | - | _ | - |
| Next 4% | 233,100 | -4,337 | 43.1% | -186 | 1.8% | _ | - | _ | - |
| Top 1% | 1,252,100 | -1,722 | 4.2% | -3 | 0.0% | _ | _ | | - |
| ALL | \$ 67,600 | \$ -403 | 100.0% | \$ -410 | 100.0% | \$ -7 | 100.0% | \$ -40 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$26,200 | \$ –14 | 2.1% | \$ –330 | 48.1% | \$ –12 | 98.6% | \$ -66 | 99.1% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | the District | of Columbia | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$12,500 | \$ — | - | \$285 | 19.3% | \$ -63 | 73.8% | \$ –91 | 84.8% |
| Second 20% | 27,400 | -1 | 0.0% | -364 | 23.8% | -19 | 22.1% | -16 | 14.3% |
| Middle 20% | 48,100 | -56 | 2.2% | -351 | 24.4% | -3 | 4.0% | -1 | 0.7% |
| Fourth 20% | 76,800 | -417 | 15.4% | -305 | 20.5% | -0 | 0.1% | -0 | 0.2% |
| Next 15% | 148,800 | -1,861 | 53.6% | -222 | 11.6% | _ | - | - | - |
| Next 4% | 416,400 | -3,834 | 28.7% | -23 | 0.3% | _ | - | - | - |
| Top 1% | 2,491,700 | -1 | 0.0% | _ | _ | _ | - | - | - |
| ALL | \$ 96,400 | \$ -530 | 100.0% | \$ -292 | 100.0% | \$ –17 | 100.0% | \$ –21 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$29,500 | \$ –20 | 2.2% | \$ –333 | 67.5% | \$ -28 | 99.9% | \$ –36 | 99.8% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Florida | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,500 | \$ — | - | \$ –264 | 13.7% | \$ –14 | 13.0% | \$ –191 | 61.7% |
| Second 20% | 22,500 | _ | _ | -342 | 17.8% | -30 | 27.4% | -91 | 29.6% |
| Middle 20% | 36,100 | -4 | 0.2% | -400 | 20.8% | -58 | 53.7% | -25 | 8.2% |
| Fourth 20% | 58,900 | -83 | 5.3% | -486 | 25.2% | -6 | 5.1% | -1 | 0.4% |
| Next 15% | 107,500 | -942 | 45.6% | -539 | 21.1% | -1 | 0.8% | -1 | 0.2% |
| Next 4% | 266,700 | -3,594 | 46.4% | -117 | 1.2% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% |
| Top 1% | 1,813,800 | -766 | 2.5% | -9 | 0.0% | - | _ | -0 | 0.0% |
| ALL | \$ 69,800 | \$ -307 | 100.0% | \$ –380 | 100.0% | \$ –21 | 100.0% | \$61 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$23,100 | \$ –1 | 0.2% | \$ –335 | 52.4% | \$ -34 | 94.0% | \$ –103 | 99.4% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | mpact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Georgia | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$9,400 | \$ — | - | \$ -261 | 12.2% | \$ -24 | 15.6% | \$ –165 | 38.9% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 20,200 | _ | _ | -378 | 17.7% | -35 | 23.0% | -200 | 47.2% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 35,400 | -6 | 0.3% | -421 | 19.8% | -84 | 54.8% | -49 | 11.5% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 58,600 | -64 | 3.4% | -547 | 25.7% | -10 | 6.6% | -10 | 2.3% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 103,700 | -1,096 | 44.2% | -631 | 22.2% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Next 4% | 228,600 | -4,245 | 45.7% | -228 | 2.1% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Top 1% | 1,049,100 | -2,359 | 6.3% | -5 | 0.0% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 59,100 | \$ –367 | 100.0% | \$ -420 | 100.0% | \$ –30 | 100.0% | \$ -84 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$21,700 | \$ -2 | 0.3% | \$ –353 | 49.8% | \$ -48 | 93.3% | \$ -138 | 97.6% | | | | |

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,300 | \$ — | - | \$ –231 | 11.5% | \$ –21 | 19.0% | \$ –97 | 51.1% |
| Second 20% | 24,800 | _ | - | -362 | 17.3% | -38 | 32.6% | -76 | 38.7% |
| Middle 20% | 39,900 | -44 | 2.2% | -403 | 19.9% | -52 | 46.3% | -15 | 7.7% |
| Fourth 20% | 63,000 | -103 | 5.0% | -542 | 26.3% | -2 | 2.0% | -5 | 2.6% |
| Next 15% | 111,200 | -1,215 | 45.4% | -612 | 22.8% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Next 4% | 230,800 | -3,967 | 39.0% | -223 | 2.2% | _ | - | _ | - |
| Top 1% | 951,400 | -3,395 | 8.4% | -10 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 62,600 | \$ -403 | 100.0% | \$ -405 | 100.0% | \$ –22 | 100.0% | \$ –38 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$24,900 | \$ –15 | 2.2% | \$ -331 | 48.7% | \$ -37 | 98.0% | \$ -63 | 97.4% |

| Impact of Se | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Idaho | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,600 | \$ — | - | \$ –255 | 11.3% | \$4 | 3.0% | \$ –133 | 47.0% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 23,500 | _ | _ | -396 | 17.6% | -49 | 39.7% | -102 | 36.3% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 39,100 | _ | _ | -419 | 18.4% | -49 | 38.9% | -12 | 4.3% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 60,000 | -48 | 2.8% | -620 | 27.9% | -22 | 18.0% | -32 | 11.7% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 98,600 | -1,000 | 43.3% | -645 | 21.5% | -0 | 0.1% | -1 | 0.4% | | | | |
| Next 4% | 206,800 | -3,922 | 45.4% | -354 | 3.2% | -0 | 0.0% | -3 | 0.2% | | | | |
| Top 1% | 968,600 | -2,959 | 8.5% | -8 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | - | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 59,000 | \$ -345 | 100.0% | \$ -446 | 100.0% | \$ –25 | 100.0% | \$56 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$24,300 | \$ — | 0.0% | \$ –357 | 47.3% | \$ -34 | 81.6% | \$ -82 | 87.5% | | | | |
| Source: ITED Mir | programulation Ma | dol Eobruory 200 | 10 | | | - | | | | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Illinois | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | eduction | Making Wo | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,300 | \$ — | - | \$ –228 | 11.5% | \$8 | 8.2% | \$ –122 | 48.6% |
| Second 20% | 26,000 | -1 | 0.0% | -355 | 17.8% | -56 | 54.1% | -104 | 41.5% |
| Middle 20% | 45,400 | -21 | 0.8% | -416 | 21.0% | -34 | 32.8% | -23 | 9.0% |
| Fourth 20% | 70,800 | -224 | 8.1% | -520 | 26.2% | -5 | 4.6% | -1 | 0.5% |
| Next 15% | 121,800 | -1,940 | 52.8% | -602 | 22.7% | _ | - | -0 | 0.1% |
| Next 4% | 274,000 | -4,939 | 35.9% | -71 | 0.7% | -0 | 0.0% | _ | _ |
| Top 1% | 1,487,200 | -1,356 | 2.5% | -8 | 0.0% | _ | _ | -0 | 0.0% |
| ALL | \$ 73,900 | \$546 | 100.0% | \$ –394 | 100.0% | \$20 | 100.0% | \$ –50 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$27.300 | \$-7 | 0.8% | \$ -333 | 50.3% | \$ -33 | 95.1% | \$ -83 | 99.0% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of S | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Indiana | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,300 | \$ — | - | \$ –256 | 11.5% | \$ –11 | 9.5% | \$ –127 | 49.4% |
| Second 20% | 24,200 | -1 | 0.1% | -342 | 15.4% | -38 | 32.1% | -87 | 33.8% |
| Middle 20% | 40,600 | -4 | 0.2% | -466 | 21.0% | -63 | 53.5% | -37 | 14.5% |
| Fourth 20% | 61,900 | -60 | 3.3% | -566 | 25.5% | -6 | 4.9% | -1 | 0.3% |
| Next 15% | 98,900 | -1,149 | 48.4% | -679 | 23.0% | -0 | 0.0% | -7 | 2.0% |
| Next 4% | 196,500 | -3,419 | 38.4% | -371 | 3.4% | - | - | - | - |
| Top 1% | 853,500 | -3,393 | 9.5% | -4 | 0.0% | - | _ | | - |
| ALL | \$ 58,200 | \$ –353 | 100.0% | \$ -440 | 100.0% | \$ -23 | 100.0% | \$51 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$25,000 | \$ –2 | 0.3% | \$ –355 | 48.0% | \$ –37 | 95.1% | \$ -84 | 97.7% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | lowa | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,900 | \$ — | - | \$ –207 | 9.5% | \$6 | 6.3% | \$ -42 | 29.1% |
| Second 20% | 28,000 | _ | _ | -372 | 17.1% | -41 | 43.1% | -39 | 26.8% |
| Middle 20% | 44,700 | -16 | 0.8% | -462 | 21.2% | -42 | 43.5% | -49 | 33.6% |
| Fourth 20% | 67,200 | -120 | 5.6% | -558 | 25.6% | -7 | 7.2% | -15 | 10.5% |
| Next 15% | 103,500 | -1,456 | 51.1% | -674 | 23.2% | _ | - | _ | - |
| Next 4% | 197,700 | -3,805 | 35.6% | -337 | 3.1% | _ | _ | _ | - |
| Top 1% | 849,700 | -2,965 | 6.9% | -40 | 0.1% | _ | _ | _ | - |
| ALL | \$ 61,500 | \$ -423 | 100.0% | \$ -430 | 100.0% | \$ –19 | 100.0% | \$ –29 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$27,900 | \$ –5 | 0.8% | \$ –347 | 47.8% | \$ -30 | 92.8% | \$ -43 | 89.5% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | mpact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Kansas | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,200 | \$ — | - | \$ –227 | 10.4% | \$8 | 6.5% | \$ –75 | 36.5% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 25,800 | -0 | 0.0% | -349 | 16.1% | -46 | 38.0% | -92 | 45.0% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 42,800 | -8 | 0.3% | -478 | 21.9% | -62 | 50.2% | -28 | 13.4% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 68,200 | -155 | 6.4% | -571 | 26.5% | -6 | 5.2% | -10 | 5.0% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 110,100 | -1,625 | 50.4% | -648 | 22.4% | - | - | _ | _ | | | | |
| Next 4% | 227,100 | -4,586 | 38.0% | -263 | 2.4% | -0 | 0.0% | _ | _ | | | | |
| Top 1% | 993,100 | -2,378 | 4.9% | -46 | 0.1% | _ | - | _ | _ | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 64,600 | \$ -481 | 100.0% | \$ -431 | 100.0% | \$ -24 | 100.0% | \$ -41 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$26,300 | \$ –3 | 0.3% | \$ –351 | 48.5% | \$ –39 | 94.8% | \$ -65 | 94.8% | | | | |

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | rk Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$8,700 | \$ — | - | \$ –204 | 9.8% | \$ –10 | 9.1% | \$ -82 | 30.5% |
| Second 20% | 21,000 | _ | - | -321 | 15.7% | -30 | 28.3% | -133 | 50.1% |
| Middle 20% | 35,300 | -1 | 0.1% | -415 | 19.9% | -63 | 58.6% | -32 | 12.0% |
| Fourth 20% | 56,200 | -58 | 3.6% | -534 | 26.2% | -4 | 4.0% | -20 | 7.4% |
| Next 15% | 91,900 | -897 | 41.3% | -669 | 24.4% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Next 4% | 186,300 | -3,541 | 43.5% | -391 | 3.8% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% |
| Top 1% | 793,300 | -3,753 | 11.5% | -5 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 52,800 | \$ -323 | 100.0% | \$ -407 | 100.0% | \$ -21 | 100.0% | \$ -53 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$21,700 | \$ -0 | 0.1% | \$ -313 | 45.4% | \$ -34 | 96.0% | \$ -83 | 92.6% |

| inipact of ot | mpact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Louisiana AMT Reduction Making Work Pay Credit EITC Expansion Child Credit Expansion | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$10.000 | \$ — | - | \$ -253 | 12.5% | \$-6 | 5.0% | \$ -215 | 62.0% | | | | | |
| Second 20% | 22,000 | · _ | _ | -342 | 16.9% | -38 | 32.6% | -113 | 32.8% | | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 37,500 | -4 | 0.2% | -409 | 20.3% | -66 | 56.1% | -16 | 4.6% | | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 60,400 | -179 | 8.1% | -490 | 23.9% | -7 | 6.3% | -2 | 0.5% | | | | | |
| Next 15% | 109,300 | -1,421 | 49.6% | -639 | 24.0% | _ | - | _ | _ | | | | | |
| Next 4% | 226,400 | -4,101 | 37.6% | -233 | 2.3% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | | |
| Top 1% | 942,000 | -1,971 | 4.5% | -14 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | _ | | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 60,100 | \$ -430 | 100.0% | \$ –399 | 100.0% | \$ –23 | 100.0% | \$ -68 | 100.0% | | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$23,100 | \$ -1 | 0.2% | \$ –335 | 49.6% | \$ –37 | 93.6% | \$ –115 | 99.4% | | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Maine | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$11,300 | \$ — | - | \$ –222 | 10.5% | \$ –3 | 4.1% | \$ -68 | 58.3% |
| Second 20% | 23,500 | _ | _ | -335 | 15.9% | -14 | 17.8% | -21 | 18.0% |
| Middle 20% | 38,400 | -3 | 0.2% | -426 | 20.2% | -47 | 58.1% | -27 | 23.4% |
| Fourth 20% | 59,500 | -39 | 2.2% | -566 | 26.9% | -16 | 19.9% | -0 | 0.2% |
| Next 15% | 96,500 | -1,038 | 44.0% | -640 | 22.8% | _ | - | - | _ |
| Next 4% | 202,800 | -3,979 | 45.0% | -384 | 3.6% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% |
| Top 1% | 792,800 | -3,052 | 8.6% | -9 | 0.0% | - | _ | - | - |
| ALL | \$ 56,500 | \$ –350 | 100.0% | \$ -417 | 100.0% | \$ –16 | 100.0% | \$ -23 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$24,400 | \$ -1 | 0.2% | \$ -328 | 46.6% | \$ -22 | 80.1% | \$ –38 | 99.8% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax | Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Maryland | | | | |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$11,600 | \$ — | - | \$ –231 | 11.6% | \$8 | 15.4% | \$ -88 | 47.1% |
| Second 20% | 29,900 | -0 | 0.0% | -358 | 17.9% | -27 | 51.8% | -96 | 51.1% |
| Middle 20% | 50,100 | -46 | 1.3% | -453 | 22.9% | -17 | 32.2% | -1 | 0.8% |
| Fourth 20% | 80,200 | -423 | 11.4% | -514 | 25.8% | -0 | 0.5% | -0 | 0.1% |
| Next 15% | 138,900 | -2,680 | 54.3% | -558 | 21.1% | - | - | -0 | 0.1% |
| Next 4% | 287,300 | -5,733 | 31.0% | -44 | 0.4% | - | - | - | - |
| Top 1% | 1,426,600 | -1,491 | 2.0% | -2 | 0.0% | _ | _ | | - |
| ALL | \$ 80,100 | \$ -733 | 100.0% | \$ –393 | 100.0% | \$ –10 | 100.0% | \$ –37 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$30,500 | \$ –16 | 1.3% | \$ –347 | 52.4% | \$ –17 | 99.5% | \$ -62 | 99.0% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Massachusetts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,800 | \$ — | - | \$ –180 | 9.4% | \$5 | 8.2% | \$ –50 | 44.3% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 27,700 | -0 | 0.0% | -332 | 17.6% | -39 | 61.7% | -59 | 53.6% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 48,300 | -24 | 0.7% | -404 | 21.3% | -17 | 26.7% | -2 | 1.9% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 77,800 | -386 | 11.1% | -543 | 28.7% | -2 | 3.3% | -0 | 0.1% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 137,900 | -2,645 | 56.9% | -569 | 22.5% | _ | - | _ | _ | | | | |
| Next 4% | 318,200 | -5,389 | 31.0% | -30 | 0.3% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Top 1% | 1,861,100 | -203 | 0.3% | -3 | 0.0% | - | - | | _ | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 84,100 | \$ -690 | 100.0% | \$ –375 | 100.0% | \$ –13 | 100.0% | \$ –22 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$29,000 | \$ –8 | 0.7% | \$ –306 | 48.3% | \$ –21 | 96.7% | \$ –37 | 99.9% | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of S | mpact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Michigan | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | eduction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$9,300 | \$ — | | \$ -223 | 10.9% | \$ –13 | 12.1% | \$ –133 | 47.5% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 22,700 | _ | _ | -327 | 16.0% | -34 | 32.5% | -123 | 43.9% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 39,300 | -4 | 0.2% | -409 | 20.0% | -53 | 50.2% | -20 | 7.3% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 61,900 | -83 | 4.4% | -534 | 26.0% | -6 | 5.2% | -2 | 0.8% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 100,000 | -1,143 | 45.0% | -636 | 23.4% | - | - | -1 | 0.3% | | | | |
| Next 4% | 195,300 | -3,893 | 40.9% | -357 | 3.5% | - | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Top 1% | 865,100 | -3,636 | 9.5% | -13 | 0.0% | _ | - | - | _ | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 57,300 | \$ –376 | 100.0% | \$ -403 | 100.0% | \$ –21 | 100.0% | \$ –55 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$23,800 | \$ –1 | 0.2% | \$ –320 | 46.9% | \$ –33 | 94.8% | \$ -92 | 98.7% | | | | |

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$11,700 | \$ — | - | \$ –249 | 11.6% | \$ –8 | 11.8% | \$ –61 | 47.6% |
| Second 20% | 28,800 | -0 | 0.0% | -362 | 16.8% | -38 | 55.1% | -43 | 34.1% |
| Middle 20% | 47,500 | -19 | 0.7% | -438 | 20.4% | -21 | 30.8% | -20 | 15.4% |
| Fourth 20% | 71,500 | -189 | 7.1% | -578 | 26.8% | -2 | 2.3% | -3 | 2.7% |
| Next 15% | 115,300 | -1,773 | 50.1% | -657 | 22.9% | _ | - | -0 | 0.1% |
| Next 4% | 252,900 | -5,109 | 38.5% | -146 | 1.4% | - | - | -0 | 0.1% |
| Top 1% | 1,231,800 | -1,862 | 3.5% | -7 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 71,200 | \$ -528 | 100.0% | \$ -427 | 100.0% | \$ –14 | 100.0% | \$ –25 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$29,300 | \$6 | 0.7% | \$ –350 | 48.8% | \$ –22 | 97.7% | \$ -41 | 97.1% |

| Impact of Se | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Mississippi | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | eduction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$8,700 | \$ — | - | \$ -202 | 10.0% | \$6 | 4.3% | \$ –176 | 32.3% | | | | | |
| Second 20% | 17,500 | _ | - | -303 | 14.8% | -25 | 18.1% | -323 | 58.7% | | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 29,800 | -6 | 0.4% | -403 | 20.7% | -37 | 27.6% | -34 | 6.4% | | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 51,500 | -37 | 2.7% | -520 | 25.9% | -62 | 44.9% | -13 | 2.5% | | | | | |
| Next 15% | 88,700 | -725 | 39.3% | -634 | 23.7% | -9 | 5.0% | _ | _ | | | | | |
| Next 4% | 177,800 | -3,106 | 44.8% | -484 | 4.8% | _ | - | _ | _ | | | | | |
| Top 1% | 716,800 | -3,556 | 12.8% | -36 | 0.1% | _ | - | -1 | 0.0% | | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 48,400 | \$ -273 | 100.0% | \$ –395 | 100.0% | \$ –27 | 100.0% | \$ -106 | 100.0% | | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$18,800 | \$2 | 0.4% | \$ -304 | 45.4% | \$ -23 | 50.0% | \$ –175 | 97.5% | | | | | |
| Source: ITED Mir | regimulation Ma | dol Eobruary 20 | 00 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | mpact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Missouri | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | eduction | Making Wor | rk Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$9,700 | \$ — | - | \$ –218 | 10.5% | \$4 | 3.1% | \$ -86 | 32.2% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 22,300 | _ | _ | -349 | 16.8% | -54 | 43.3% | -139 | 51.9% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 37,400 | -1 | 0.1% | -429 | 20.6% | -61 | 48.7% | -36 | 13.6% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 59,800 | -73 | 3.9% | -533 | 25.7% | -6 | 4.8% | -6 | 2.2% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 98,000 | -1,152 | 46.1% | -638 | 23.1% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Next 4% | 204,300 | -3,917 | 41.7% | -331 | 3.2% | _ | - | _ | _ | | | | |
| Top 1% | 951,200 | -3,122 | 8.3% | -7 | 0.0% | - | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 57,600 | \$ –372 | 100.0% | \$ -410 | 100.0% | \$ –25 | 100.0% | \$53 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$23,100 | \$ -0 | 0.1% | \$ -332 | 48.0% | \$ -40 | 95.2% | \$ -87 | 97.6% | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Montana | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$9,400 | \$ — | - | \$ -200 | 9.9% | \$ –1 | 1.1% | \$ –58 | 30.2% | | | | | |
| Second 20% | 22,000 | - | _ | -294 | 14.7% | -30 | 36.3% | -82 | 42.8% | | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 36,500 | _ | - | -415 | 20.7% | -46 | 54.6% | -34 | 17.9% | | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 58,200 | -71 | 4.0% | -561 | 27.9% | -7 | 8.0% | -15 | 7.9% | | | | | |
| Next 15% | 96,800 | -1,066 | 44.9% | -619 | 23.2% | -0 | 0.0% | -1 | 0.2% | | | | | |
| Next 4% | 209,600 | -3,640 | 40.8% | -352 | 3.5% | -0 | 0.0% | -5 | 0.5% | | | | | |
| Top 1% | 849,300 | -3,646 | 10.4% | -7 | 0.0% | - | - | -1 | 0.0% | | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 56,000 | \$ –353 | 100.0% | \$ –397 | 100.0% | \$ –17 | 100.0% | \$ –38 | 100.0% | | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$22,600 | \$ — | 0.0% | \$ -303 | 45.3% | \$ -26 | 92.0% | \$ –58 | 90.9% | | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Nebraska | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,900 | \$ — | - | \$ –280 | 12.6% | \$ –2 | 2.8% | \$84 | 44.3% | | | | | |
| Second 20% | 26,700 | -1 | 0.0% | -389 | 17.6% | -28 | 32.7% | -73 | 38.8% | | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 43,200 | -21 | 0.8% | -435 | 19.6% | -46 | 54.8% | -25 | 13.5% | | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 66,400 | -158 | 6.3% | -579 | 26.0% | -5 | 5.5% | -6 | 3.3% | | | | | |
| Next 15% | 109,400 | -1,666 | 50.1% | -632 | 21.4% | _ | - | -0 | 0.2% | | | | | |
| Next 4% | 214,500 | -4,696 | 37.8% | -298 | 2.7% | -16 | 3.7% | _ | - | | | | | |
| Top 1% | 1,058,600 | -2,488 | 5.0% | -27 | 0.1% | -7 | 0.4% | _ | - | | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 65,100 | \$500 | 100.0% | \$ -443 | 100.0% | \$ –17 | 100.0% | \$ –38 | 100.0% | | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$27,000 | \$ -7 | 0.9% | \$ –368 | 49.8% | \$ -26 | 90.3% | \$ –61 | 96.5% | | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of S | mpact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Nevada | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$13,900 | \$ — | - | \$ -356 | 15.7% | \$ -29 | 23.7% | \$ –170 | 55.6% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 27,500 | _ | _ | -402 | 17.7% | -47 | 38.9% | -104 | 34.0% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 43,800 | -15 | 1.1% | -455 | 20.2% | -45 | 37.0% | -30 | 10.0% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 66,800 | -107 | 7.8% | -573 | 25.3% | -0 | 0.2% | -0 | 0.1% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 109,600 | -836 | 45.8% | -587 | 19.5% | - | - | _ | - | | | | |
| Next 4% | 253,700 | -3,017 | 44.1% | -168 | 1.5% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Top 1% | 2,122,800 | -356 | 1.3% | -18 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | _ | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 77,300 | \$ –271 | 100.0% | \$ -447 | 100.0% | \$ -24 | 100.0% | \$ -60 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$28,400 | \$ –5 | 1.1% | \$ -404 | 53.5% | \$ -40 | 99.7% | \$ -102 | 99.6% | | | | |

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Work Pay Credit | | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$14,800 | \$ — | - | \$ –294 | 13.0% | \$ –7 | 19.7% | \$56 | 70.1% |
| Second 20% | 32,900 | -1 | 0.0% | -401 | 17.8% | -22 | 62.0% | -23 | 29.4% |
| Middle 20% | 51,700 | -15 | 0.5% | -463 | 20.4% | -6 | 17.2% | -0 | 0.5% |
| Fourth 20% | 80,400 | -406 | 13.5% | -608 | 27.2% | -0 | 0.5% | _ | _ |
| Next 15% | 130,300 | -2,086 | 51.6% | -604 | 20.1% | -0 | 0.5% | _ | _ |
| Next 4% | 267,700 | -4,878 | 32.3% | -90 | 0.8% | - | - | - | _ |
| Top 1% | 1,193,800 | -1,273 | 2.1% | -15 | 0.0% | | - | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 76,900 | \$ -596 | 100.0% | \$ -443 | 100.0% | \$ -7 | 100.0% | \$ –16 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$33,100 | \$5 | 0.5% | \$ –386 | 51.3% | \$ –12 | 99.0% | \$ –27 | 100.0% |

| Impact of Se | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 New Jersey | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | eduction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$12,100 | \$ — | - | \$ -237 | 12.2% | \$ -22 | 30.8% | \$ -130 | 70.4% | | | | | |
| Second 20% | 29,000 | -0 | 0.0% | -362 | 18.7% | -37 | 52.5% | -40 | 21.9% | | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 50,100 | -32 | 0.8% | -409 | 21.2% | -12 | 16.3% | -13 | 7.1% | | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 81,900 | -592 | 14.1% | -529 | 27.3% | -0 | 0.5% | -1 | 0.4% | | | | | |
| Next 15% | 144,900 | -3,232 | 57.9% | -523 | 20.3% | _ | - | -0 | 0.1% | | | | | |
| Next 4% | 327,800 | -5,668 | 27.0% | -21 | 0.2% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | | |
| Top 1% | 1,658,800 | -91 | 0.1% | -0 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | _ | | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 85,200 | \$ -830 | 100.0% | \$ –383 | 100.0% | \$ -14 | 100.0% | \$ –37 | 100.0% | | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$30,400 | \$ –11 | 0.8% | \$ -336 | 52.1% | \$ -24 | 99.5% | \$ –61 | 99.4% | | | | | |
| Courses ITED Mi | ana aina datian Ma | del Echruchy 200 | 00 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | npact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 New Mexico | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | rk Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | | |
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,200 | \$ — | _ | \$ –307 | 14.7% | \$ –7 | 4.9% | \$ –201 | 51.6% | | | | | |
| Second 20% | 22,600 | _ | - | -402 | 19.3% | -55 | 39.9% | -142 | 36.5% | | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 36,400 | -5 | 0.3% | -392 | 18.8% | -69 | 50.6% | -30 | 7.8% | | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 59,100 | -63 | 3.8% | -482 | 23.0% | -6 | 4.2% | -10 | 2.6% | | | | | |
| Next 15% | 101,500 | -1,021 | 46.0% | -584 | 21.2% | -0 | 0.1% | -7 | 1.4% | | | | | |
| Next 4% | 208,000 | -3,475 | 41.3% | -282 | 2.7% | _ | - | -1 | 0.1% | | | | | |
| Top 1% | 815,900 | -2,846 | 8.6% | -10 | 0.0% | - | - | _ | - | | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 56,900 | \$ –332 | 100.0% | \$ -412 | 100.0% | \$ –27 | 100.0% | \$ -77 | 100.0% | | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$23,100 | \$ -2 | 0.3% | \$ -367 | 52.8% | \$ -44 | 95.4% | \$ -125 | 95.8% | | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of S | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 New York | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | eduction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$9,400 | \$ — | - | \$ -186 | 10.3% | \$ -14 | 14.6% | \$ –112 | 45.3% | | | | | |
| Second 20% | 22,000 | _ | _ | -314 | 17.3% | -34 | 35.7% | -114 | 46.1% | | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 39,300 | -12 | 0.4% | -401 | 22.2% | -45 | 47.0% | -20 | 8.2% | | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 65,400 | -238 | 7.8% | -488 | 26.9% | -2 | 2.0% | -1 | 0.3% | | | | | |
| Next 15% | 117,100 | -2,304 | 57.0% | -542 | 22.5% | -1 | 0.7% | -0 | 0.1% | | | | | |
| Next 4% | 282,700 | -5,165 | 34.1% | -67 | 0.7% | - | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | | |
| Top 1% | 1,990,300 | -385 | 0.6% | -2 | 0.0% | - | _ | -0 | 0.0% | | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 74,800 | \$597 | 100.0% | \$ -356 | 100.0% | \$ –19 | 100.0% | \$ -49 | 100.0% | | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$23,600 | \$ -4 | 0.4% | \$ –301 | 49.8% | \$ –31 | 97.3% | \$ -82 | 99.5% | | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 North Carolina | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,200 | \$ — | - | \$ –238 | 11.2% | \$ –15 | 9.3% | \$ –146 | 42.9% | | | | | |
| Second 20% | 22,100 | _ | _ | -367 | 17.3% | -76 | 47.7% | -172 | 50.8% | | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 36,700 | -3 | 0.2% | -433 | 20.3% | -64 | 40.4% | -12 | 3.7% | | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 60,100 | -57 | 2.8% | -552 | 26.1% | -4 | 2.5% | -9 | 2.6% | | | | | |
| Next 15% | 103,200 | -1,249 | 46.0% | -641 | 22.7% | -0 | 0.1% | -0 | 0.0% | | | | | |
| Next 4% | 220,300 | -4,474 | 44.0% | -248 | 2.3% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | | |
| Top 1% | 922,000 | -2,891 | 7.1% | -4 | 0.0% | - | - | | _ | | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 58,600 | \$ -403 | 100.0% | \$ -420 | 100.0% | \$ –31 | 100.0% | \$ -67 | 100.0% | | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$23,000 | \$ -1 | 0.2% | \$ –346 | 48.8% | \$ –51 | 97.4% | \$ –110 | 97.4% | | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of S | mpact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 North Dakota | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | eduction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$13,400 | \$ — | - | \$ -202 | 9.3% | \$ -12 | 11.9% | \$ -40 | 33.5% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 27,900 | _ | _ | -382 | 17.6% | -31 | 31.1% | -58 | 47.8% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 46,500 | -2 | 0.1% | -464 | 20.5% | -58 | 57.0% | -4 | 3.2% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 74,400 | -312 | 12.7% | -570 | 27.4% | _ | - | -10 | 8.8% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 114,600 | -1,703 | 49.9% | -662 | 22.9% | - | - | -11 | 6.6% | | | | |
| Next 4% | 230,200 | -4,031 | 31.6% | -245 | 2.3% | - | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Top 1% | 920,500 | -2,924 | 5.7% | -31 | 0.1% | -1 | 0.1% | -1 | 0.0% | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 67,900 | \$ -509 | 100.0% | \$ -432 | 100.0% | \$ -20 | 100.0% | \$ -24 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$29,100 | \$ –1 | 0.1% | \$ –348 | 47.4% | \$ –33 | 99.9% | \$ –34 | 84.5% | | | | |

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | ork Pay Credit EITC E | | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,000 | \$ — | - | \$ –224 | 11.2% | \$ –10 | 9.2% | \$ –128 | 49.4% |
| Second 20% | 23,500 | -0 | 0.0% | -337 | 16.8% | -36 | 34.6% | -113 | 43.5% |
| Middle 20% | 39,200 | -36 | 1.7% | -395 | 19.9% | -46 | 45.0% | -9 | 3.3% |
| Fourth 20% | 59,200 | -148 | 7.0% | -507 | 25.4% | -11 | 11.1% | -10 | 3.8% |
| Next 15% | 94,300 | -1,235 | 43.7% | -609 | 22.9% | -0 | 0.1% | -0 | 0.0% |
| Next 4% | 192,700 | -4,136 | 39.0% | -364 | 3.6% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% |
| Top 1% | 854,800 | -3,638 | 8.6% | -6 | 0.0% | _ | - | -1 | 0.0% |
| ALL | \$ 56,000 | \$ -418 | 100.0% | \$ –394 | 100.0% | \$ –20 | 100.0% | \$51 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$24,200 | \$ –12 | 1.7% | \$ –319 | 47.9% | \$ –31 | 88.8% | \$ –83 | 96.2% |

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$9,300 | \$ — | - | \$ –215 | 10.4% | \$ -9 | 5.5% | \$ –154 | 42.1% |
| Second 20% | 21,100 | _ | - | -358 | 17.2% | -64 | 40.3% | -170 | 46.1% |
| Middle 20% | 36,900 | -0 | 0.0% | -427 | 20.8% | -71 | 45.4% | -16 | 4.5% |
| Fourth 20% | 60,600 | -83 | 4.7% | -549 | 26.6% | -14 | 8.7% | -25 | 6.8% |
| Next 15% | 104,300 | -1,171 | 49.9% | -602 | 21.8% | _ | - | -2 | 0.3% |
| Next 4% | 220,700 | -3,351 | 38.1% | -315 | 3.1% | _ | - | -1 | 0.1% |
| Top 1% | 1,061,300 | -2,541 | 7.2% | -2 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 59,900 | \$ –348 | 100.0% | \$ -408 | 100.0% | \$ –31 | 100.0% | \$ -72 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$22,500 | \$ -0 | 0.0% | \$ -334 | 48.4% | \$ -48 | 91.2% | \$ –113 | 92.7% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | mpact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Oregon | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | eduction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,400 | \$ — | _ | \$ –212 | 10.5% | \$ –1 | 0.9% | \$ -49 | 25.8% | | | |
| Second 20% | 23,700 | _ | _ | -372 | 18.3% | -26 | 26.0% | -103 | 54.0% | | | |
| Middle 20% | 40,200 | -6 | 0.3% | -444 | 22.1% | -68 | 69.0% | -36 | 18.9% | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 64,100 | -105 | 4.8% | -504 | 25.1% | -4 | 4.1% | -2 | 1.0% | | | |
| Next 15% | 109,800 | -1,447 | 49.1% | -588 | 21.8% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | |
| Next 4% | 230,500 | -4,427 | 40.1% | -214 | 2.1% | _ | - | -1 | 0.1% | | | |
| Top 1% | 955,500 | -2,559 | 5.8% | -9 | 0.0% | - | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | |
| ALL | \$ 62,200 | \$ -437 | 100.0% | \$ –399 | 100.0% | \$ -20 | 100.0% | \$ –37 | 100.0% | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$24.800 | \$-2 | 0.3% | \$ -343 | 50.8% | \$ -32 | 95.9% | \$ -63 | 98.8% | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Pennsylvan | ia | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,500 | \$ — | - | \$ –199 | 10.1% | \$8 | 8.7% | \$ -93 | 51.9% |
| Second 20% | 25,000 | -0 | 0.0% | -309 | 15.7% | -25 | 28.6% | -58 | 32.5% |
| Middle 20% | 42,600 | -13 | 0.5% | -426 | 21.6% | -43 | 48.7% | -26 | 14.2% |
| Fourth 20% | 65,800 | -166 | 6.4% | -511 | 25.8% | -12 | 14.0% | -2 | 1.1% |
| Next 15% | 110,000 | -1,789 | 51.8% | -655 | 24.9% | - | - | -0 | 0.1% |
| Next 4% | 233,900 | -4,829 | 37.2% | -181 | 1.8% | - | - | - | - |
| Top 1% | 1,068,600 | -2,135 | 4.1% | -11 | 0.0% | _ | _ | -0 | 0.0% |
| ALL | \$ 64,400 | \$512 | 100.0% | \$ –389 | 100.0% | \$ –17 | 100.0% | \$ –35 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$26,000 | \$ -4 | 0.5% | \$ –312 | 47.3% | \$ –25 | 86.0% | \$ –59 | 98.7% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Rhode Island | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credit Expansion | | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$9,700 | \$ — | - | \$ –161 | 8.2% | \$5 | 6.3% | \$ -47 | 22.5% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 22,300 | _ | _ | -331 | 16.9% | -39 | 47.8% | -143 | 68.3% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 40,400 | -4 | 0.2% | -411 | 21.1% | -34 | 42.7% | -19 | 9.1% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 64,000 | -59 | 2.6% | -534 | 27.2% | _ | - | _ | _ | | | | |
| Next 15% | 109,000 | -1,449 | 48.7% | -624 | 24.1% | -3 | 3.2% | _ | _ | | | | |
| Next 4% | 226,700 | -4,757 | 42.4% | -247 | 2.5% | _ | - | _ | _ | | | | |
| Top 1% | 970,100 | -2,741 | 6.1% | -9 | 0.0% | - | _ | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 61,500 | \$ -443 | 100.0% | \$ –386 | 100.0% | \$ –16 | 100.0% | \$ -41 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$24,200 | \$ -1 | 0.2% | \$ –301 | 46.2% | \$ -26 | 96.8% | \$ -70 | 100.0% | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of S | mpact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 South Carolina | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$9,800 | \$ — | - | \$ -255 | 12.2% | \$ -12 | 9.2% | \$ –212 | 50.5% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 21,300 | -0 | 0.0% | -352 | 16.7% | -52 | 39.5% | -176 | 41.7% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 33,700 | -2 | 0.1% | -401 | 18.9% | -62 | 46.8% | -32 | 7.5% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 55,600 | -66 | 3.7% | -545 | 26.5% | -6 | 4.5% | -1 | 0.2% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 96,100 | -1,083 | 44.5% | -624 | 22.3% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Next 4% | 202,000 | -3,883 | 42.6% | -356 | 3.4% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Top 1% | 843,800 | -3,334 | 9.1% | -9 | 0.0% | _ | - | -3 | 0.0% | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 54,300 | \$ –360 | 100.0% | \$ -414 | 100.0% | \$ -26 | 100.0% | \$ -83 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$21,500 | \$ –1 | 0.1% | \$ –336 | 47.7% | \$ -42 | 95.5% | \$ -140 | 99.7% | | | | |

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | rk Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,300 | \$ — | - | \$ –229 | 10.1% | \$5 | 4.2% | \$ –88 | 35.6% |
| Second 20% | 24,500 | _ | - | -367 | 17.1% | -11 | 9.9% | -56 | 23.9% |
| Middle 20% | 43,100 | -3 | 0.2% | -486 | 21.4% | -101 | 82.4% | -60 | 24.5% |
| Fourth 20% | 65,900 | -216 | 11.5% | -569 | 26.5% | -4 | 3.2% | -34 | 14.6% |
| Next 15% | 104,800 | -1,261 | 49.1% | -648 | 22.2% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Next 4% | 226,800 | -3,209 | 33.2% | -276 | 2.5% | _ | - | -14 | 1.2% |
| Top 1% | 1,088,300 | -2,343 | 6.1% | _ | - | _ | - | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 63,700 | \$ -382 | 100.0% | \$ -434 | 100.0% | \$ –23 | 100.0% | \$ -47 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$25,900 | \$ -1 | 0.2% | \$ –361 | 48.7% | \$ –39 | 96.5% | \$ -68 | 83.9% |

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Tennessee | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$10,200 | \$ — | - | \$ –252 | 11.6% | \$ -9 | 6.3% | \$ –153 | 45.1% |
| Second 20% | 22,200 | _ | - | -360 | 16.7% | -41 | 29.7% | -147 | 43.8% |
| Middle 20% | 37,200 | -2 | 0.1% | -460 | 21.3% | -83 | 59.6% | -37 | 10.9% |
| Fourth 20% | 57,200 | -57 | 4.2% | -554 | 25.7% | -6 | 4.2% | -1 | 0.2% |
| Next 15% | 96,800 | -827 | 45.0% | -617 | 21.5% | -0 | 0.1% | _ | - |
| Next 4% | 211,600 | -2,814 | 40.8% | -340 | 3.1% | _ | - | -1 | 0.0% |
| Top 1% | 988,400 | -2,730 | 9.9% | -4 | 0.0% | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 57,500 | \$ –273 | 100.0% | \$ -426 | 100.0% | \$ –28 | 100.0% | \$ -66 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$23,200 | \$ -1 | 0.1% | \$ -358 | 49.7% | \$ -45 | 95.6% | \$ -112 | 99.8% |
| Courses ITED Mil | ana dina dati an Ma | dal Eabruary 200 | 20 | | | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Texas | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | eduction | Making Wo | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$11,600 | \$ -0 | 0.0% | \$ –301 | 13.8% | \$ –15 | 9.0% | \$ –226 | 57.3% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 25,400 | -1 | 0.0% | -417 | 19.1% | -85 | 49.2% | -142 | 36.0% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 41,400 | -19 | 0.8% | -453 | 20.8% | -66 | 38.2% | -20 | 5.1% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 68,400 | -266 | 10.9% | -539 | 24.7% | -6 | 3.3% | -6 | 1.4% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 123,100 | -1,645 | 50.6% | -597 | 20.6% | -0 | 0.0% | -0 | 0.1% | | | | |
| Next 4% | 273,100 | -4,300 | 35.3% | -83 | 0.8% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Top 1% | 1,391,500 | -1,193 | 2.4% | -7 | 0.0% | _ | _ | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 71,900 | \$ -483 | 100.0% | \$ -431 | 100.0% | \$ –34 | 100.0% | \$ –78 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$26.100 | \$-7 | 0.8% | \$ -391 | 53.8% | \$ -55 | 96.4% | \$ -129 | 98.4% | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Utah | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credit Expansion | | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$11,600 | \$ — | - | \$ –279 | 11.6% | \$ -24 | 13.0% | \$ –154 | 52.9% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 27,300 | _ | _ | -436 | 18.0% | -77 | 42.2% | -85 | 28.9% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 43,900 | -11 | 0.5% | -512 | 21.4% | -63 | 35.2% | -44 | 15.2% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 69,100 | -159 | 6.8% | -646 | 26.9% | -17 | 9.5% | -9 | 3.1% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 114,300 | -1,521 | 48.6% | -650 | 20.3% | -0 | 0.1% | - | - | | | | |
| Next 4% | 229,400 | -4,585 | 39.1% | -216 | 1.8% | - | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Top 1% | 1,084,000 | -2,364 | 5.1% | -8 | 0.0% | - | - | -1 | 0.0% | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 66,800 | \$ -464 | 100.0% | \$ –475 | 100.0% | \$ –36 | 100.0% | \$ –58 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$27,700 | \$ -4 | 0.5% | \$ -409 | 51.0% | \$ –55 | 90.3% | \$ -94 | 96.9% | | | | |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Se | elected Tax (| Cuts in Final | Stimulus Pac | kage in 2009 | Vermont | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$11,300 | \$ — | - | \$ –275 | 12.4% | \$ -2 | 3.0% | \$ –73 | 46.2% |
| Second 20% | 25,400 | _ | - | -356 | 15.9% | -21 | 29.6% | -63 | 39.4% |
| Middle 20% | 40,800 | _ | - | -428 | 19.4% | -48 | 67.3% | -23 | 14.4% |
| Fourth 20% | 61,800 | -47 | 2.0% | -603 | 27.3% | _ | - | _ | - |
| Next 15% | 103,400 | -1,647 | 51.9% | -642 | 21.7% | -0 | 0.1% | _ | - |
| Next 4% | 216,500 | -4,688 | 39.5% | -327 | 3.0% | _ | - | _ | - |
| Top 1% | 870,200 | -3,082 | 6.6% | -15 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | - |
| ALL | \$ 60,300 | \$ -471 | 100.0% | \$ -439 | 100.0% | \$ -14 | 100.0% | \$ –31 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$25,900 | \$ — | 0.0% | \$ –353 | 47.8% | \$ -24 | 99.9% | \$ -52 | 100.0% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of S | Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Virginia | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion | | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | | | | |
| Lowest 20% | \$11,000 | \$ — | - | \$ -236 | 11.3% | \$6 | 7.7% | \$ –111 | 53.5% | | | | |
| Second 20% | 25,900 | _ | _ | -362 | 17.3% | -40 | 54.9% | -74 | 35.3% | | | | |
| Middle 20% | 45,000 | -18 | 0.6% | -470 | 22.7% | -25 | 34.2% | -23 | 10.9% | | | | |
| Fourth 20% | 74,100 | -248 | 8.5% | -585 | 28.1% | -2 | 3.2% | -0 | 0.2% | | | | |
| Next 15% | 130,300 | -1,962 | 50.6% | -554 | 20.0% | - | - | -0 | 0.1% | | | | |
| Next 4% | 272,000 | -5,536 | 38.1% | -54 | 0.5% | _ | - | -0 | 0.0% | | | | |
| Top 1% | 1,287,500 | -1,227 | 2.1% | -3 | 0.0% | _ | - | -1 | 0.0% | | | | |
| ALL | \$ 73,900 | \$ -576 | 100.0% | \$ -413 | 100.0% | \$ –14 | 100.0% | \$ -41 | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom 60% | \$27,400 | \$6 | 0.6% | \$ –356 | 51.3% | \$ -24 | 96.7% | \$ -69 | 99.7% | | | | |

| | | AMT Re | duction | Making Wor | k Pay Credit | EITC Ex | pansion | Child Credi | t Expansion |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$11,600 | \$ — | - | \$ –234 | 11.2% | \$ –16 | 16.3% | \$ –104 | 55.7% |
| Second 20% | 28,300 | -1 | 0.1% | -363 | 17.4% | -43 | 44.2% | -71 | 38.0% |
| Middle 20% | 48,800 | -19 | 0.9% | -454 | 21.8% | -36 | 37.3% | -7 | 3.8% |
| Fourth 20% | 76,900 | -305 | 14.6% | -557 | 26.8% | -2 | 1.9% | _ | _ |
| Next 15% | 127,600 | -1,267 | 45.5% | -596 | 21.4% | _ | - | -5 | 1.9% |
| Next 4% | 274,000 | -3,762 | 36.1% | -121 | 1.2% | - | - | -3 | 0.3% |
| Top 1% | 1,372,500 | -1,136 | 2.8% | -5 | 0.0% | _ | - | -1 | 0.0% |
| ALL | \$ 75,600 | \$ -409 | 100.0% | \$ -409 | 100.0% | \$ –19 | 100.0% | \$ –37 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$29,600 | \$ –7 | 1.0% | \$ -351 | 50.5% | \$ –32 | 97.8% | \$ –61 | 97.6% |

| Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Pac AMT Reduction | | | kage in 2009 West Virgini Making Work Pay Credit | | EITC Expansion | | Child Credit Expansion | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ncome group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$8,700 | \$ — | _ | \$ –181 | 9.2% | \$-3 | 2.8% | \$ -108 | 35.6% |
| Second 20% | 19,000 | _ | _ | -276 | 13.8% | -13 | 13.4% | -128 | 41.8% |
| Middle 20% | 31,000 | -2 | 0.1% | -384 | 19.7% | -59 | 61.5% | -65 | 21.9% |
| Fourth 20% | 53,500 | -53 | 3.7% | -568 | 28.8% | -22 | 22.2% | -2 | 0.6% |
| Next 15% | 87,000 | -778 | 40.7% | -611 | 23.2% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Next 4% | 163,700 | -2,843 | 39.7% | -522 | 5.3% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| Top 1% | 596,000 | -4,528 | 15.8% | -10 | 0.0% | _ | - | _ | _ |
| ALL | \$ 47,400 | \$ –283 | 100.0% | \$ –389 | 100.0% | \$ –19 | 100.0% | \$ -60 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$19,600 | \$ -1 | 0.1% | \$281 | 42.7% | \$ –25 | 77.7% | \$ -100 | 99.4% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Wisconsin | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| AMT Reduction | | | Making Work Pay Credit | | EITC Expansion | | Child Credit Expansion | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$12,300 | \$ — | - | \$ –240 | 11.0% | \$ –13 | 19.5% | \$ –125 | 61.9% |
| Second 20% | 25,600 | _ | _ | -349 | 16.0% | -29 | 42.7% | -61 | 30.2% |
| Middle 20% | 42,700 | -12 | 0.5% | -432 | 19.9% | -24 | 34.5% | -12 | 6.0% |
| Fourth 20% | 66,700 | -88 | 3.9% | -586 | 26.9% | -2 | 3.1% | -4 | 1.9% |
| Next 15% | 103,100 | -1,541 | 50.9% | -681 | 23.4% | -0 | 0.2% | -0 | 0.1% |
| Next 4% | 205,200 | -4,333 | 38.2% | -311 | 2.8% | _ | - | _ | - |
| Top 1% | 989,000 | -2,961 | 6.5% | -5 | 0.0% | -1 | 0.1% | _ | - |
| ALL | \$ 62,700 | \$ -452 | 100.0% | \$ -434 | 100.0% | \$ -14 | 100.0% | \$ -40 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$26,900 | \$ -4 | 0.5% | \$ –341 | 46.8% | \$ –22 | 96.6% | \$ –66 | 98.0% |

Source: ITEP Microsimulation Model, February 2009

| Impact of Selected Tax Cuts in Final Stimulus Package in 2009 Wyoming | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| AMT Reduction | | Making Work Pay Credit | | EITC Expansion | | Child Credit Expansion | | | |
| Income group | Ave. Income | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut | Ave. Tax Cut | Share of Tax Cut |
| Lowest 20% | \$13,700 | \$ — | - | \$ –274 | 12.6% | \$ -32 | 42.9% | \$ -86 | 75.8% |
| Second 20% | 32,900 | _ | - | -388 | 17.8% | -35 | 47.9% | -25 | 22.1% |
| Middle 20% | 53,200 | -41 | 1.5% | -462 | 21.1% | -7 | 9.2% | -1 | 1.2% |
| Fourth 20% | 82,200 | -688 | 25.6% | -559 | 25.6% | - | - | - | - |
| Next 15% | 129,200 | -1,619 | 45.6% | -634 | 21.9% | - | - | - | - |
| Next 4% | 284,900 | -3,490 | 26.3% | -106 | 1.0% | - | - | -5 | 0.9% |
| Top 1% | 2,252,200 | -526 | 1.0% | -10 | 0.0% | _ | - | - | _ |
| ALL | \$ 89,500 | \$ –534 | 100.0% | \$ -434 | 100.0% | \$ –15 | 100.0% | \$ -23 | 100.0% |
| Bottom 60% | \$33,200 | \$ –13 | 1.5% | \$ –375 | 51.5% | \$ –25 | 100.0% | \$ –37 | 99.0% |